PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHOR	ıny			
To: ZEEV PEARL			PCT	
EITAN, PEARL, LAIZER & COHEN-ZEDEK 7 SHENKAR STREET HERZLIA 46725 ISRAEL		WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY		
ISKAEL			(PCT Rule 43bis 1)	
	(ate of mailing lay/month/year)	17 OCT 2005	
Applicant's or agent's file reference	F	OR FURTHER	ACTION See paragraph 2 below	
P-6166-PC International application No	nternational filing date (da)	/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)	
	19 December 2004 (29.12.2		31 December 2003 (31.12.2003)	
International Patent Classification (IPC) or I				
IPC(7): A61B 5/05 and US Cl.: 600/407				
Applicant				
GIVEN IMAGING LTD.				
1 This opinion contains indications relations	ng to the following items:			
Box No I Basis of the o	pinion			
Box No II Priority	•			
Box No III Non-establish	ment of opinion with regard	d to novelty, inve	ntive step and industrial applicability	
Box No. IV Lack of unity	of invention			
Box No V Reasoned state applicability,	ement under Rule 43bis 1(a citations and explanations	a)(i) with regard to supporting such st	o novelty, inventive step or industrial attement	
Box No VI Certain docum	nents cited		·	
Box No. VII Certain defect	ts in the international applic	ation		
Box No VIII Certain observ	vations on the international	application		
2 FURTHER ACTION				
International Preliminary Examining	Authority ("IPEA") except IPEA and the chosen IPE	ot that this does A has notified th	be considered to be a written opinion of the not apply where the applicant chooses an in laternational Bureau under Rule 66 1bis(b) ered	
If this opinion is, as provided above, IPEA a written reply together, where a of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the ex	appropriate, with amendme	nts, before the ex	PEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the piration of 3 months from the date of mailing whichever expires later	
For further options, see Form PCT/ISA				
3 For further details, see notes to Form P	PCT/ISA/220			
Name and mailing address of the ISA/ US	Date of completio	n of this opinion	Authorized officer	
Mail Stop PCI, Attn: ISA/US Commissioner for Patents	17 September 200	5 (17 09.2005) 4-(17 09.2005)	Bilisakhi Roy	
PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450	•		Telephone No 571-272-7139	
Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230	5		Totophono 1.0 3.7 2.0 . 107	
Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (April 2003))		•	

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/IL04/01183

Box No. I Basis of this opinion
1 With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
the international application in the language in which it was filed
a translation of the international application into, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23 1(b))
2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
a type of material
a sequence listing
table(s) related to the sequence listing
b format of material
on paper
in electronic form
c time of filing/furnishing
contained in the international application as filed
filed together with the international application in electronic form
furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search
Infinished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of the purposes
In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished
4. Additional comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

Form PCT/ISA/237 (Box No V) (April 2005)

International application No PCT/IL.04/01183

applicability; citations and ex			
	a.		4.227
Novelty (N)	Claims NONE Claims 1-37		YE NC
	Claims 1-37		
Inventive step (IS)	Claims NONE		YE
mvomivo step (10)	Claims <u>1-37</u>		NC
	Chima 1 27		YE
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims 1-37 Claims NONE		NO
	Claims INGINE		
ims 1-37 meet the criteria set out in PCT Artimade or used in industry	cle 33(4), and thus have in	dustrial applicability becau	se the subject matter claimed c
	cle 33(4), and thus have in	dustrial applicability becau	se the subject matter claimed c
	ele 33(4), and thus have in	dustrial applicability becau	se the subject matter claimed c
	cle 33(4), and thus have in	dustrial applicability becau	se the subject matter claimed c
	cle 33(4), and thus have in	dustrial applicability becau	se the subject matter claimed c
	ele 33(4), and thus have in	dustrial applicability becau	se the subject matter claimed o
	cle 33(4), and thus have in	dustrial applicability becau	se the subject matter claimed o
made or used in industry .	ele 33(4), and thus have in	dustrial applicability becau	se the subject matter claimed c
made or used in industry .		dustrial applicability becau	se the subject matter claimed c
made or used in industry .		dustrial applicability becau	se the subject matter claimed c
made or used in industry .		dustrial applicability becau	se the subject matter claimed o
made or used in industry .		dustrial applicability becau	se the subject matter claimed c

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

NOTES TO FORM PCT/ISA/220 (continued)

The letter must indicate the differences between the claims as filed and the claims as amended. It must, in particular, indicate, in connection with each claim appearing in the international application (it being understood that identical indications concerning several claims may be grouped), whether

- (i) the claim is unchanged:
- (ii) the claim is cancelled;
- (iii) the claim is new;
- (iv) the claim replaces one or more claims as filed;
- (v) the claim is the result of the division of a claim as filed

The following examples illustrate the manner in which amendments must be explained in the accompanying letter:

- [Where originally there were 48 claims and after amendment of some claims there are 51]: Claims 1 to 29, 31, 32, 34, 35, 37 to 48 replaced by amended claims bearing the same numbers. claims 30, 33 and 36 unchanged; new claims 49 to 51 added
- [Where onginelly there were 15 claims and after amendment of all claims there are 11]: "Claims 1 to 15 replaced by amended claims 1 to 11"
- [Where originally there were 14 claims and the amendments consist in cancelling some claims and in
 - "Claims I to 6 and 14 unchanged: claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added "or "Claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added; all other claims unchanged"
- [where various kinds of amendments are made]: "Claims 1-10 unchanged; claims 11 to 13, 18 and 19 cancelled; claims 14, 15 and 16 replaced by amended claims 1-10 unchanged; claims 11 to 13, 18 and 19 cancelled; claims 12 and 21 added." [Where various kinds of amendments are made]:

"Statement under Article 19(1)" (Rule 46.4)

The amendments chay be accompanied by a statement explaining the amendments and indicating any impact such amendments might have on the description and the drawings (which cannot be amended under the description and the drawings). Anicle 19(1))

The statement will be published with the international application and the amended claims.

It must be in the Language in which the international application is to be published.

It must be brief, not exceeding 500 words if in English or if translated into English

It should not be confused with and does not replace the letter indicating the differences between the claims as filed and as amended lt must be filed on a separate sheet and must be identified as such by a heading, preferably by using the words "Statement under Article 19(3)."

It may not contain any disparaging comments on the international search report or the relevance of citations contained in that report Reference to citations, relevant to a given claim, contained in the international search report may be made only in connection with an amendment of that claim

Consequence if a demand for international preliminary examination has already been filed

If at the time of filing any amendments and any accompanying statement, under Article 19, a demand for memational preliminary examination has already been submitted, the applicant must preferably, at the time of filing the amendments (and any statement) with the International Bureau, also file with the International Preliminary Examining Authority a copy of such amendments (and of any statement) and, where required, a translation of such amendments for the procedure before that Authority (see Rules 55.3(a) and 62.2, first sentence) For further information, see the Notes to the demand form (PCT/IPEA/401).

Consequence with regard to translation of the international application for entry into the national phase

The applicant's amention is drawn to the fact that, upon entry into the national phase, a translation of the claims as amended under Article 19 may have to be furnished to the designated/elected Offices, instead of, or in addition to the translation of the claims as filed.

For further details on the requirements of each designated elected Office, see the PCT Applicant's Guide. Volume II.